UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/544,202	08/02/2005	Gillian Antoinette Mimnagh-Kelleher	NL 030113	8136	
	7590 09/30/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001		STOUT, MICHAEL C			
BKIAKCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3736			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		09/30/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/544,202	MIMNAGH-KELLEHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL C. STOUT	3736		

		MICHAEL C. STOUT	3	3736	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the co	rrespondence addi	ress
THE RE	 PLY FILED <u>9/2/2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA			-	
1. ⊠ Tr ap ap fo	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on oplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceriods:	the same day as filing a Notic replies: (1) an amendment, af al (with appeal fee) in compli	ce of Ap ffidavit, d iance wi	ppeal. To avoid aban or other evidence, w ith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔯	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set ter than SIX MONTHS from the i	mailing d	late of the final rejectio	n.
have bee under 37 set forth may redu	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later use any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	). on which the petition under 37 CF ension and the corresponding an hortened statutory period for repl	FR 1.136 nount of t ly origina	(a) and the appropriate the fee. The appropria Illy set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iongo with 27 CEP 41 27 mu	et ha fila	ad within two months	of the data of
fili N	ing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in compliant the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendiction of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with MENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to av	void dismissal of the	
3. 🔲 Т	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a	brief, wi	ill <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
	) They raise new issues that would require further cor				
(b	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	v);			
(c	) $\square$ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materia	ally redu	cing or simplifying th	ne issues for
	appeal; and/or				
(d	) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of final	Ily reject	ted claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. 🔲 т	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of No	on-Comp	pliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
	applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. 🔲 N	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allon-allowable claim(s).		ırate, tim	nely filed amendmen	t canceling the
ho TI C C C C	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  aim(s) allowed:  aim(s) objected to:  aim(s) rejected:  aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	· -	□ will b	e entered and an ex	planation of
	<u>VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>				
be wa	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the a	iffidavit d	or other evidence is	necessary and
er sh	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a and was not earlier presente	appeal a ed. See	and/or appellant fails 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims at	fter entr	ry is below or attache	ed.
- <u> </u>	The request for reconsideration has been considered but The Applicant's arguments filed 9/2/2008 in a Request for considered but are not persuasive. The Applicant arguest converter receives two signals, see Page 10, Lines 8-15, adder. However claims 1 and 5 are still rejected under the acceleration sensors, as broadly define and capable of polaims 1 and 5 are not in condition for allowance. Futher Bouten which teaches the device wherein the sensors are three sensor outputs are joined at a junction and fed into Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	or reconsideration in responses that the junction in Depeurs The Examiner aggress that the alternately cited adding elegation of the functional limits armore, the claims 1, 5, and 15 to directly connected, as broat an A/D converter, as set fortes.	e to a fire singe is residence is residence is the junction sement 2-cations in a fire also adly clair the in the	nal office action have not an adder becaus ction prior to elemen 4, which is directly con recited claims 1 and so rejected under Da med, to an adding el	e been fully se the A/D (5) t 5 is not an onnected to the d 5, and thus men in view of
13.		. 10,00,00,1 aper 140(3)			
10. I I (	Juioi				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736 /M. C. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3736

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080926

Application No.